

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/029,322		12/20/2001	David William Koenig	KCC 4788 (K.C. No. 15,397	6145
321	7590	12/18/2003		EXAM	INER
		RS LEAVITT AN AN SQUARE	COLE, ELIZ	COLE, ELIZABETH M	
16TH FLOO		II DQOAKL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOUIS,	MO 631	02	1771		

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

جارين	4								
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
0.65			322	KOENIG ET AL.	1/1				
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit					
			h M Cole	1771	- Ideas				
Period fo					aress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and tatutory beriod cause the a	event, however, may a tatutory minimum of thi will expire SIX (6) MOI polication to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>21 October 20</u>	<u>003</u> .	•					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊡ This action is	non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-17,20-23,26-28,31-3</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/s			cation.					
,	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	•						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-17,20-23,26-28,31-3</u>	<u>35 and 38</u> is/are reje	cted.		*.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	istica and/or plactica	, roquiromont						
	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election	requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)□	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
1	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	to by the Examiner.							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a clair	m for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
12 <i>)</i>	□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			3 (-) ()					
ĺ	1. Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have b	een received.	Application No.					
	2. Certified copies of the priorit3. Copies of the certified copies	y documents have b s of the priority docu	ments have bee	n received in this Nationa	l Stage				
	application from the Internati	ional Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)									
13)	since a specific reference was includ	ed in the first senter	nce of the specifi	ication or in an Application	n Data Sheet.				
3	37 CFR 1.78.								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific									
14)L	reference was included in the first se	ntence of the specif	ication or in an A	Application Data Sheet. 37	7 CFR 1.78.				
Attachme	nt(s)		. <u>—</u>						
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	(DTO 0.40)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No f Informal Patent Application (PT	o(s) · -O-152)				
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		6) Other:	· montain atom rippinamon (i	- / /				

Application/Control Number: 10/029,322

Art Unit: 1771

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 1. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9-11, 14-17, 20-23, 26-28, 31-35, 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mandell et al in view of Romano, WO 97/31092. Mandell discloses an absorbent product comprising an osmoregulation protector such as a betaine. See page 16, lines12- page 17, line 33. The osmoregulation protector may be incorporated into a shell material such as a superabsorbent particle. See page 28. The betaine acts to prevent the formation of ammonia formation. See page 3, lines 6-9.
- Mandell differs from the claimed invention because Mandell does not disclose the 3. claimed amounts of osmoregulation protector employed in terms of milligrams per grams of product. However, Mandell teaches employing an effective amount. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the amount to employ through the process of routine experimentation. Mandell also differs from the claimed invention because Mandell does not specifically disclose glycine betaine. Romano teaches employing glycine betaine in an amount which is effective to interact with bacteria in a wet wipe. See page 7, line 13 – page 8, line 28.
- Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mandell in 4. view of Romano, as applied to claims above, and further in view of Lorenzi et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,217,889. Lorenzi teaches that liposomes maybe incorporated into personal absorbent articles

Application/Control Number: 10/029,322

Art Unit: 1771

and cleansing wipes along with betaines in order to enhance the skin soothing properties of the absorbent articles and cleansing wipes. See col. 15, lines 1-21 and col. 2412-15. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have further incorporated liposomes into the material of Mandell as taught by Lorenzi, motivated

by the expectation that this would further enhance the skin soothing properties of the articles.

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/21/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Romano does not teach glycine betaine because the preferred embodiment of Romano is drawn to a betaine wherein R1 comprises 8-18 and preferably 12-14 carbon atoms. However, Romano does teach that R1, R2 and R3 may each contain one carbon atom and therefore Romano teaches glycine betaine.
- 6. All rejections not maintained above are withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments and arguments.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,322

Art Unit: 1771

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (703) 308-0037. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Inquiries of a general nature may be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

The fax number for official faxes is (703) 872-9310. The fax number for official after final faxes is (703) 872-9311. The fax number for unofficial faxes is (703) 305-5436.

Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

e.m.c